# Climate Constituencies Project

Name:	
Title:	
Organization:	
Interviewer:	
Date:	

### Introduction

This project studies how climate policy networks around the United States are evolving. It documents the ideological and policy networks operating at the state and federal levels on climate/clean energy issues and observes how they are changing over time. The research will map out what clusters are forming around particular climate-related issues, what explains the formation of these clusters, and how they are related to specific policy actors.

Participation in this survey is strictly voluntary. There are no known risks involved in this research. The only benefit is being a part of a project that will help us to understand US climate/clean energy politics better. We wish to stress the complete confidentiality of this survey. We will not ask you for any identifying information. The information that we gather will not be used for any commercial purposes and will be used only for academic research. Your answers will be confidential. We will not share your email address or any other contact information with anyone.

# Organizational Perspectives on Climate Change

Please look at Table Q1 below. This is a list of statements regarding the nature of global climate change as a phenomenon and as a policy issue. These statements are presented in a one-sided manner to allow for agreement or disagreement. Please indicate the number that best reflects the level of dis/agreement of your group's typical policy stance with the statement as presented, from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

Table Q1: Organizational Problem Definitions.							
Statement	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree		
Global climate change is currently occurring.	1	2	3	4	5		
Climate change is caused by greenhouse gases (GHGs).	1	2	3	4	5		
Human activities are an important driver of current global climate change.	1	2	3	4	5		

# National Policy Debates, Proposals, and Decisions

Please look at Table Q2 below for a list of the debates, proposals, and decisions being discussed in the United States today. For each item on the list, please indicate the number that best expresses your group's typical level of support for the debate, proposal, or decision, from Strongly Disagree (1) to Strongly Agree (5).

	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
The US should meet or exceed reduction target of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 26-28% below 2005 levels by 2025.	1	2	3	4	5
Emissions trading (cap and trade) is the best option for reducing US GHG emissions.	1	2	3	4	5
The US should provide subsidies for nuclear power as a form of GHG emissions reductions.	1	2	3	4	5
The US should invest more money in clean coal technology.	1	2	3	4	5
Countries should set their own targets for GHG emissions reductions.	1	2	3	4	5
There should be an international binding commitment on all nations to reduce GHG emissions.	1	2	3	4	5
The Clean Power Plan should be implemented by every state.	1	2	3	4	5
Federal climate legislation will not hurt the economy.	1	2	3	4	5
Federal legislation should include a carbon tax.	1	2	3	4	5
The Federal government (and not states) should take the lead on climate policy.	1	2	3	4	5
Climate change (or failing to address climate change) poses a security threat.	1	2	3	4	5
Climate change should be a key issue in the 2016 election.	1	2	3	4	5
Net-metering should be implemented by state energy utilities.	1	2	3	4	5

should be developed and maintained in 1 2 3 each state.	4	2 3 4 5	
---------------------------------------------------------	---	---------	--

### **Networks with Organizations and Policy Actors**

Finally, we want to ask you about your group's relationships with other organizations and persons, or, as we call them, policy actors. Please answer each question by putting a mark (check, X, circle, etc.) next to the relevant policy actor from those listed in Table Q3 for each of the following questions:

- 1. In Column **3-1**, please put a mark by each of the policy actors that are **your sources of expert scientific information** about climate change. If you mark the "Other" category, please write in the name(s) of up to three individuals or organizations in the "Comments" column
- 2. In Column **3-2**, please put a mark by each of the policy actors with which your group **collaborates regularly** concerning climate change issues and politics.
- 3. In Column **3-3**, please put a mark by each of the policy actors that your group regards as **especially influential** in domestic climate change politics.

	Science	Collaborators	Influential Actors	Comments
overnment (Bureaus, Departments, gencies, Research Institutes):				
Executive Office of the President Federal Energy Regulatory Commission				
NASA				
National Weather Service				
NOAA				
Office of Management and Budget US Agency for International Development				
US Department of Energy				
US Department of State				
US Department of the Interior US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air and Radiation				
US Environmental Protection Agency White House Council on Environmental Quality				
Other:				

	Science	Collaborators	Influential Actors	Comments
Congressional Offices:				
Office of Representative Andy Harris				
Office of Representative Cynthia				
Lummis				
Office of Representative Ed Whitfield				
Office of Representative Eddie				
Bernice Johnson				
Office of Representative Fred Upton				
Office of Representative John Shimkus				
Office of Representative Lamar Smith Office of Representative Suzanne				
Bonamici				
Office of Senator Barrasso				
Office of Senator Inhofe				
Other:				
Sub-National Government Agencies				
Texas Commission on Environmental				
Quality				
Other:				
Research and Policy Groups:				
American Academy of Pediatrics				
American Enterprise Institute				
<u>^</u>				
Brookings Institution				
Center for Global Development				
Electric Power Research Institute				
Heritage Foundation				
Institute for Regulatory Science				
Texas Public Policy Foundation				
The German Marshall Fund of the				
United States				
Other:				
Environmental Groups:				
American Council for an Energy- Efficient Economy				
Audubon Society				
Environmental Defense Fund				
Natural Resources Defense Council				
Pew Center/ Center for Climate and				
Energy Solutions				
Sierra Club				

	Science	Collaborators	Influential Actors	Comments
The Nature Conservancy				
Union of Concerned Scientists				
World Resources Institute				
Other:				
University Researchers				
Arizona State University				
California Institute of Technology				
Colorado State University				
Columbia University Earth Institute				
Duke University				
Georgia Institute of Technology				
George Washington University				
Massachusetts Institute of Technology				
NYU School of Medicine				
Pennsylvania State University				
Princeton University				
Rice University				
Southern Methodist University				
Stanford University				
Stanford University Law School				
Texas A&M				
University of Alabama Huntsville				
University of California Berkeley				
University of California Irvine				
University of California Los Angeles				
University of California San Diego				
University of California Santa Barbara				
University of Colorado				
University of Michigan Biological Station				
University of Montana				
University of Pittsburgh				
University of Sussex				
University of Texas				
Vermont Law School				
Other:				

	Science	Collaborators	Influential Actors	Comments
Businesses and Business Groups				
Air Line Pilots Association				
Airlines for America				
Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers				
Alstom				
American Forest and Paper Association, American Wood Council				
American Fuel and Petrochemical Manufactures				
American Iron and Steel Institute				
American Public Power Association				
Barnes & Thornburg				
Bracewell & Giuliani LLP				
DuPont de Nemours and Company				
Growth Energy				
Industrial Energy Consumers of America				
National Business Aviation Association				
Ohio Coal Association				
San Miguel Electric Cooperative, Inc.				
SIGMA and NACS				
Swiss Re				
Troutman Sanders				
US Chamber of Commerce				
Other:				
International Organizations				
IPCC				
Global Environment Facility (GEF)				
International Energy Agency (IEA)				
Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)				
UNFCCC				